## EXHIBIT D

24

25

CHRISTOPHER MAY MASON

there any view as to whether or not if we put it off for a short period of time we would be able to hear -- I'd be able to hear all the motions at once?

MR. BAUMANN: We certainly could do the summary judgment motion and have it heard by the April 4 date, I believe. I agree with you that because of the 21-day safe harbor provision that it would be difficult, if not impossible, to meet the April 4 deadline. So the solution -- I'm a little hesitant to move out the time that we have to stay involved in this case by moving the April 4 date. I'd rather have -- I guess I'd rather have the sanctions heard at a later time and go ahead and tee up the actual substantive motions and set a different briefing schedule on the sanctions motion. It would still give an opportunity within the safe harbor period to avoid the need to even have the summary judgment hearing.

MR. HOGAN: Yes, your Honor. If I may just get off for just a bit, your Honor. One of the issues in this case I think would be decided on summary judgment is the ongoing infringement of C&S, the PCT's involvement in that and its liability under the RICO statute. If we're going to set a briefing schedule for summary judgment, rather than do it piecemeal -- and that's what counsel is suggesting -- in order to get the PCT out as soon as possible, it makes more sense to set a rational briefing schedule for summary judgment motions

in total in this case. If this were not done under the Hawaii rules, your Honor, I would file a counter motion to the motions that are pending and seek summary judgment. That's what was pending when this case was moved from Hawaii. We believe the prior adjudications, the writ of mandate of the Ninth Circuit, indicate that C&S is using Mr. Berry's software. It has no license. The PCT has facilitated that use and that the parties are liable for infringement. If we prevail on that, your Honor, you know, I, frankly, can move off of the other issues regarding the PCT, because it's a significant hit against the PCT and I don't need to go forward on the other matters.

What they're trying to do, and they've been successful in the past, is to nibble at this thing, and the reality is, I think when your Honor reads the responses to the motions that are pending now, it will either, you're either going to say Mr. Hogan, goodbye, you lose, or C&S, PCT and the lenders may be n a different class, you lose, and it's going to be very, very obvious that it's something that can be decided on summary judgment, because it's based on prior adjudication. It's not based on a -- and I will just tell you, your Honor, I'll put it out here. Mr. Berry will testify that he saw Mr. Baumann in the airport when he got off his plane on August 14. So Mr. Baumann is going to put in a declaration that says "I wasn't there" and Mr. Berry is going to put in a declaration that says he was. Mr. Baumann has apparently already seen --

Mr. Berry filed that months ago in another proceeding, it's not something he just made up. What he's trying to do is essentially increase the cost of the litigation; to bury Mr. Berry, no pun intended, your Honor, and to make it impossible for him to protect his copyrights, while a case is pending in the Ninth Circuit that will decide many of the issues as well.

I understand Mr. Kors -- I want to take his deposition because Mr. Kors knows what happened, and if Mr. Kors testifies under oath, he will testify that he is aware that the PCT is an infringer, he has given them aid and that he is liable for that.

Now, what I'm saying is, I fully agree this case should be done on summary judgment. I do not believe there's going to be a need to hold a trial with the exception of damages, if I prevail, just like the last trial. But what Mr. Baumann is suggesting is, one, don't give me any discovery.

I just want to make one comment, your Honor. The \$200,000 that Mr. Baumann just mentioned regarding the settlement and who owes who, I don't think I've ever seen that number appear in any document that was filed in court or produced in discovery. This is an issue that I've never been aware of until Mr. Baumann mentioned it in court. I admit I'm getting old, but I have a pretty good memory for the facts of this case, and that's evidence that there's things out there

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Anything further Mr. Hogan? 1 MR. HOGAN: No, other than to thank the Court for 2 allowing me to appear telephonically. 3 THE COURT: Well, you're missing an absolutely 4 dreadful day New York. 5 MR. HOGAN: I miss the weather, though, your Honor. I 6 lived in New York for many years and I have to admit again I 7 miss the seasons. 8 THE COURT: I don't miss a day like today. All right? · 9 Have a good afternoon. 10 MR. HOGAN: Thank you, your Honor. 11 12 (Adjourned) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19